

Lecture #16: Optimal Transport

①

Theorem: Suppose that $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is either bounded or equal to all of \mathbb{R}^d . Let $V_t: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ be Lipschitz in x uniformly in t , and uniformly bounded. Let $Y_t: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ be its induced flow. Suppose that for every $x \in \Omega$ and every $t \in [0, T]$, we have $Y_t(x) \in \Omega$. Then, for every probability measure $\tilde{p}_0 \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$, the ~~measures~~ measures induced by the flow

$$p_t := (Y_t)_\# \tilde{p}_0$$

Solve the continuity equation

$$(CE) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t p_t + \nabla \cdot (p_t V_t) = 0 \\ p_t = \tilde{p}_0 \text{ at } t=0 \text{ (i.e. initial distribution is } \tilde{p}_0) \end{cases}$$

Moreover, if p_t is a solution to the above (CE) with p_t a.c. w.r.t. Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^d for all $t \in [0, T]$, then $p_t = (Y_t)_\# \tilde{p}_0$. In particular, (CE) admits a unique solution.

Proof: Fix a test function $\phi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that ϕ and $\nabla \phi$ are bounded. We want to show that (CE) is weakly satisfied when p_t is obtained by such a flow. We want to show

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int \phi d p_t = \int \nabla \phi \cdot V_t d p_t.$$

well,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int \phi d p_t \stackrel{\text{flow condition}}{=} \frac{d}{dt} \int \phi(y_x(t)) d p_0(x)$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla \phi(y_x(t)) \cdot y'_x(t) dp_0(x)$$

differentiation of ODE in the flow

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla \phi(y_x(t)) \cdot V_t(y_x(t)) dp_0(x)$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla \phi(y) \cdot V_t(y) dp_t(y)$$

flow condition

This shows the kind of purported solutions given by "flowing" p_0 are in fact weak solutions.

To show the converse, that every weak solution is in fact of this form, we proceed as follows. Suppose that $\{(p_t, V_t)\}$ is a weak and therefore distributional solution. Then for all bounded Lipschitz test function $\phi \in C_c^1((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfies

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [2_t \phi + V_t \cdot \nabla \phi] dp_t(x) dt = 0$$

By a smoothing argument, we can drop the C^1 assumption and just suppose ϕ is Lipschitz and compactly supported, as long as p_t is a.c. with respect to Lebesgue measure.

Now, let $\psi \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and let $\phi(t, x) := \psi(V_t^{-1}x)$. If we can prove that

$$t \mapsto \int \phi(t, x) dp_t(x)$$

is in fact constant in t , then this will show $p_t = (V_t)_\# p_0$, as desired. What properties does ϕ have? Well, V_t is bi-Lipschitz for each t uniformly, so $\phi(t, x)$ is Lipschitz. It is compactly supported in X . Indeed, let

$$M := \sup_{t, x} |V_t(x)| < \infty$$

Then ~~supp~~ if $\text{dist}(x, \underbrace{\text{supp}(\psi)}_{\text{compact}}) > +M$, we have that ~~the~~ $\phi(t,x) = 0$. ③

Unfortunately, we do not have compact support in time, so we cannot say ~~the~~ ϕ is Lipschitz and compactly support and therefore gives 0 in $\mathcal{D}'(CE)$.

We get around this with a cut-off function as follows. Let ~~the~~

$\chi \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R})$. Then χ constant w.r.t. x

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t(\chi\phi) + v_+ \cdot \nabla(\chi\phi) &= \chi'(t)\phi(t,x) + \chi(t) \underbrace{(\partial_t\phi(t,x) + v_+ \cdot \nabla\phi(t,x))}_{=0 \text{ by definition of } \phi} \\ &= 0 \text{ by definition of } \phi \end{aligned}$$

(exercice, or see Santambrogio Box 6.3 / transport equation (introd))

Thus, we have that

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \underbrace{\partial_t(\chi\phi) + v_+ \cdot \nabla(\chi\phi)}_{=0} dp_+(x) dt = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi'(t) \phi(t,x) dp_+(x) dt$$

$$\int_0^T dt \int_{\mathbb{R}} \underbrace{[\partial_t(\chi\phi) + v_+ \cdot \nabla(\chi\phi)]}_{=0 \text{ by (CE)}} dp_+(x)$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{for arbitrary } \chi, \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi'(t) \phi(t,x) dp_+(x) dt = 0.$$

Since this holds for arbitrary χ , we must have that

$$t \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(t,x) dp_+(x)$$

is constant, as desired. ■

// Let us return to the setting of Wasserstein spaces. We can connect (CE) to curves in Wasserstein space as follows:

Theorem: Let $\{\mu_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ be an a.c. curve in $\mathcal{W}_p(\Omega)$ for $p > 1$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ compact. Then for a.e. $t \in [0,1]$, there exists a vector field $V_t \in L^p(\mu_t, \mathbb{R}^d)$ such that:

(i) The continuity equation $\partial_t \mu_t + \nabla \cdot (V_t \mu_t) = 0$ weakly

(ii) For a.e. t , we have $\|V_t\|_{L^p(\mu_t)} \leq |\mu'|_t(t)$, where $|\mu'|_t(t)$ is the metric derivative of $t \mapsto \mu_t$ evaluated at time t .

Conversely, if $\{\mu_t\}_{t \in [0,1]} \subset \mathcal{P}_p(\Omega)$ and for each t we have a vector field $V_t \in L^p(\mu_t; \mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\int_0^1 \|V_t\|_{L^p(\mu_t)} dt < \infty$ solving the continuity equation $\partial_t \mu_t + \nabla \cdot (V_t \mu_t) = 0$, then $t \mapsto \mu_t$ is an a.c. curve in $\mathcal{W}_p(\Omega)$ and for a.e. t , $|\mu'|_t(t) \leq \|V_t\|_{L^p(\mu_t)}$.

we will not prove this result, but it is done in Chapter 5.3 in OTAM.

we can use this result to give the so-called dynamical formulation of OT, pioneered by Benamou and Brenier.

To do so, we need to establish some properties of Wasserstein geodesics.

Theorem (McCann Interpolation as Wasserstein Geodesics): Suppose Ω is convex. Let $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_p(\Omega)$ and $\gamma \in \Pi(\mu, \nu)$ an OT plan realizing the Kantorovich

problem for cost $c(x,y) = \|x-y\|^p, p \geq 1$. Define

$$\tilde{\Pi}_t = \Omega \times \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$$

$$(x,y) \mapsto [1-t]x + ty$$

Then the curve $\mu_t := [\tilde{\Pi}_t] \# \gamma$ is a constant speed geodesic in W_p that starts at $\mu_0 = \mu$ and ends at $\mu_1 = \nu$. Moreover, if μ is a.c. or if γ is concentrated on the graph of the OT map $T: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ realizing the solution to the Monge problem, then $\tilde{\Pi}_t = ([1-t] \cdot Id + t \cdot T) \# \mu$. \textcircled{A}

Proof: Note that it is enough to show $W_p(\mu_t, \mu_s) \leq W_p(\mu, \nu) \cdot |t-s|$ for all t, s . If we get this, then for $t < s$

$$\begin{aligned} W_p(\mu, \nu) &\leq W_p(\mu, \mu_t) + W_p(\mu_t, \mu_s) + W_p(\mu_s, \nu) \\ &\leq W_p(\mu, \nu) \cdot [t + s - t + 1 - s] \\ &= W_p(\mu, \nu) \end{aligned}$$

\Rightarrow equality holds, i.e. $W_p(\mu_t, \mu_s) = W_p(\mu, \nu) \cdot |t-s|$. So, let's show \textcircled{A} . Let

$$\gamma_t^s := ([\tilde{\Pi}_t, \tilde{\Pi}_s] \# \gamma) \in \Pi(\mu_t, \mu_s). \text{ Then,}$$

$$\begin{aligned} W_p(\mu_t, \mu_s) &\leq \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \|x-y\|^p d\gamma_t^s(x,y) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \| [1-t]x + ty - [1-s]x + sy \|^p d\gamma \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \|(t-s)(x-y)\|^p d\gamma \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} = |t-s| \cdot W_p(\mu, \nu). \end{aligned}$$