

Optimal Transport: Lecture 3

①

Last time, we assumed the existence of a minimax principle to sketch a proof of Kantorovich duality. Today, we'll establish this result more rigorously.

Recall:

Theorem (Kantorovich Duality): Let X, Y be Polish spaces and $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(X)$, $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(Y)$. Let $c: X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be l.s.c. For any $\pi \in \mathcal{P}(X \times Y)$, $(\ell, \psi) \in L^1(d\mu) \times L^1(d\nu)$, let us define

$$J[\pi] := \int_{X \times Y} c(x, y) d\pi(x, y)$$

$$J(\ell, \psi) := \int_X \ell d\mu + \int_Y \psi d\nu$$

$$\Pi(\mu, \nu) := \left\{ \pi \in \mathcal{P}(X, Y) \mid \forall \text{ measurable } A \subset X, B \subset Y, \begin{aligned} \pi(A \times X) &= \mu(A) \\ \pi(X \times B) &= \nu(B) \end{aligned} \right\}$$

$$\Phi_c := \left\{ (\ell, \psi) \in L^1(d\mu) \times L^1(d\nu) \mid \begin{aligned} \ell(x) + \psi(y) &\leq c(x, y) \\ \mu\text{-a.e. in } x, \nu\text{-a.e. in } y \end{aligned} \right\}$$

Then $\inf_{\pi \in \Pi(\mu, \nu)} J[\pi] = \sup_{(\ell, \psi) \in \Phi_c} J(\ell, \psi)$ and the infimum on the left is

actually achieved. Moreover, Φ_c may, wlog, be restricted to continuous and bounded (ℓ, ψ) .

To rigorously prove this, we will use ~~the~~ Fenchel-Rockafellar duality.

Defn: Let E be a normed vector space, $\Theta: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ a convex function on E , i.e.

$$\forall (z_1, z_2, \lambda) \in E \times E \times \mathbb{R},$$

$$\Theta(\lambda z_1 + (1-\lambda)z_2) \leq \lambda \Theta(z_1) + (1-\lambda) \Theta(z_2).$$

The Legendre-Fenchel transform of Θ is the function Θ^* defined on the topological dual E^* as

$$\Theta^*(z^*) := \sup_{z \in E} \{ \langle z^*, z \rangle - \Theta(z) \}.$$

ex: Let $E = \mathbb{R}$, $\Theta(z) = e^z$. It is easily seen Θ is convex (exercise) and we see

$$\Theta^*(z^*) = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} \langle z^*, z \rangle - \Theta(z)$$

$$= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} z^* z - e^z, \quad (\text{The dual-pairing is simply the inner product})$$

which can be solved by calculus:

$$f(z) := z^* z - e^z$$

$$\Rightarrow f'(z) = z^* - e^z = 0 \Leftrightarrow z = \ln(z^*)$$

$$\Rightarrow \Theta^*(z^*) = z^* \ln(z^*) - z^*.$$

Exercise: Prove Θ convex $\Rightarrow \Theta^*$ convex.

Theorem (Fenchel-Rockafellar Duality): Let E be a (separable) normed vector space, E^* its topological dual space, and Θ, Ξ two convex functions on E taking values in $\mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$. Let Θ^*, Ξ^* be their LF-transforms. Suppose $\exists z_0 \in E$ s.t.

(i) $\Theta(z_0) < +\infty, \Xi^*(z_0) < +\infty$

(ii) $\Theta(z)$ is continuous at z_0 .

Then $\inf_{z \in E} \{ \Theta(z) + \Xi(z) \} = \max_{z^* \in E^*} [-\Theta^*(-z^*) - \Xi^*(z^*)]$

Proof: Recalling the definition of the LF-transform, we must show

$$\inf_{z \in E} \left\{ \Theta(z) + \Xi(z) \right\} \quad \textcircled{I}$$

$$= \sup_{z^* \in E^*} \left[-\Theta(-z^*) - \Xi^*(z^*) \right]$$

$$= \sup_{z^* \in E^*} \left[\sup_{x \in E} \left[\langle z^*, x \rangle - \Theta(x) \right] - \sup_{y \in E} \left[\langle z^*, y \rangle - \Xi(y) \right] \right]$$

$$= \sup_{z^* \in E^*} \left[\inf_{x \in E} \left[\langle z^*, x \rangle + \Theta(x) \right] + \inf_{y \in E} \left[\langle z^*, -y \rangle + \Xi(y) \right] \right]$$

$$= \sup_{z^* \in E^*} \left\{ \inf_{x, y \in E} \left\{ \langle z^*, x-y \rangle + \Theta(x) + \Xi(y) \right\} \right\} \quad \textcircled{II}$$

If we take $x=y$, then $\sup_{z^* \in E^*} \inf_{x \in E} \left\{ \langle z^*, x-x \rangle + \Theta(x) + \Xi(x) \right\}$

$$= \sup_{z^* \in E^*} \inf_{x \in E} \left\{ 0 + \Theta(x) + \Xi(x) \right\}$$

$$= \inf_{x \in E} \left\{ \Theta(x) + \Xi(x) \right\}$$

So that $\textcircled{II} \leq \textcircled{I}$. To establish $\textcircled{II} \geq \textcircled{I}$, we must find a linear form

$z^* \in E^*$ s.t.

$$\textcircled{\star} \quad \forall x, y \in E, \quad \Theta(x) + \Xi(y) + \langle z^*, x-y \rangle \geq m := \inf_{z \in E} \left[\Theta(z) + \Xi(z) \right]$$

$\leftarrow \infty$ by hyp.

We now show $\textcircled{\star}$. Let $C := \{ (x, \lambda) \in E \times \mathbb{R} \mid \lambda > \Theta(x) \}$

$$C' := \{ (y, \mu) \in E \times \mathbb{R} \mid \mu \leq m - \Xi(y) \}$$

Since Θ, Ξ are convex, so are C, C' (exercise). By continuity of Θ at z_0 , $(z_0, \Theta(z_0) + 1) \in \text{Int}(C) \Rightarrow C$ has non- \emptyset interior $\Rightarrow \bar{C} = \overline{\text{Int}(C)}$. (4)

By definition of m , $C \cap C' = \emptyset$.

Then by Hahn-Banach (see Section 14.2 of Rudin), we can find an element of $(E \times \mathbb{R})^*$ that separates C, C' , i.e.

$$\exists l \in (E \times \mathbb{R})^* \text{ s.t. } \inf_{c \in C} \langle l, c \rangle = \inf_{c \in \text{Int}(C)} \langle l, c \rangle \geq \sup_{c' \in C'} \langle l, c' \rangle$$

Writing $l = (w^*, \alpha)$ for $w^* \in E^*$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^1 = \mathbb{R}$, we get that $(w^*, \alpha) \neq (w, \alpha)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda > \Theta(x) \\ \mu \leq m - \Xi(y) \end{aligned} \Rightarrow \langle w^*, x \rangle + \alpha \lambda \geq \langle w^*, y \rangle + \alpha \mu$$

This requires $\alpha > 0$ (exercise), so defining $z^* := \frac{w^*}{\alpha}$, this yields

$$\langle z^*, x \rangle + \lambda \geq \langle z^*, y \rangle + \frac{\mu}{\alpha}$$

and in particular $\langle z^*, x \rangle + \overline{\Theta(x)} \geq \langle z^*, y \rangle - \overline{\Xi(y)} + m$, which holds $\forall x, y \in E$.

Proof of Kantorovich Duality: For ease of exposition, we'll focus on the case that

X, Y are compact and C is a continuous cost function. Let $E := C_b(X \times Y)$ be the space of all continuous and bounded functions on $X \times Y$, endowed with the sup-norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$. By the Riesz Representation Theorem (see Section 19.3 in Rudin),

The dual of E is the space of regular Radon measures with total variation norm, i.e. $E^* = \mathcal{M}(X \times Y)$, and a nonnegative linear form is given by a regular nonnegative measure.

Define two functionals on $E = \mathcal{C}_b(X \times Y)$ $\Theta, \Xi: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$

$$\Theta(u) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } u(x,y) \geq -c(x,y), \\ +\infty & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$

$$\Xi(u) := \begin{cases} \int_X \ell(x) d\mu(x) + \int_Y \psi(y) d\nu(y) & \text{if } u(x,y) = \ell(x) + \psi(y), \\ +\infty & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

N.B. This is well defined (exercise)

Note that for these choices of E, Θ, Ξ , the assumptions of Fenchel-Rockafellar duality hold with $z_0 = 1$. Hence, we may invoke the associated minimax principle:

$$\inf_{z \in E} \{ \Theta(z) + \Xi(z) \} = \sup_{z^* \in E^*} \{ -\Theta^*(-z^*) - \Xi^*(z^*) \}. \quad (\star)$$

Let us break down (\star) . The $\boxed{\text{LHS}}$ is

$$\inf_{(\ell, \psi) \in \mathcal{C}_b(X \times Y)} \left\{ \int_X \ell(x) d\mu(x) + \int_Y \psi(y) d\nu(y) \mid \ell(x) + \psi(y) \geq -c(x,y) \right\},$$

Since if that constraint doesn't hold, we get $+\infty$. But this is exactly

$$\boxed{-\sup \{ J(\ell, \psi) \mid (\ell, \psi) \in \mathcal{D}_c \}}.$$

Let μ and ν be compact Θ^* , Ξ^* : $\rightarrow \langle u, -\pi \rangle$ $\rightarrow -\Theta(u)$ (6)

$$\Theta^*(-\pi) = \sup_{u \in C_b(X \times Y)} \left\{ - \int u(x,y) d\pi(x,y) \mid u(x,y) \geq -c(x,y) \right\}$$

signed measure on $X \times Y$

$$= \sup_{u \in C_b(X \times Y)} \left\{ \int u(x,y) d\pi(x,y) \mid u(x,y) \leq c(x,y) \right\}$$

\rightarrow non-negative measures $\notin \mathcal{M}(X \times Y)$

Now, suppose $\pi \notin \mathcal{M}_+(X \times Y)$. Then $\exists v \in C_b(X \times Y)$ s.t. (i) v is non-positive
(ii) $\int v d\pi > 0$.

Then $\forall \lambda > 0$, λv satisfies $\lambda v(x,y) \leq c(x,y)$ and $\int \lambda v d\pi \rightarrow +\infty$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$.

Conversely, if $\pi \in \mathcal{M}_+(X \times Y)$, then

$$\sup_{u \in C_b(X \times Y)} \left\{ \int u(x,y) d\pi(x,y) \mid u \leq c \right\}$$

$$= \int c(x,y) d\pi(x,y).$$

We conclude

$$\Theta^*(-\pi) = \begin{cases} \int c(x,y) d\pi(x,y), & \text{if } \pi \in \mathcal{M}_+(X \times Y) \\ +\infty, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

An analogous argument (exercise) yields a matching result for Ξ^* :

$$\Xi^*(\pi) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \forall (\ell, \gamma) \in C_b(X) \times C_b(Y), \int_{X \times Y} [\ell(x) + \gamma(y)] d\pi(x,y) = \int_X \ell(x) d\mu(x) + \int_Y \gamma(y) d\nu(y) \\ +\infty & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

We conclude RHS is

$$\sup_{\pi \in \Pi(\mu, \nu)} \left\{ - \int c(x, y) d\tilde{\pi}(x, y) \right\}$$

$$\text{Thus, } - \sup_{\substack{(e, \gamma) \in \\ \mathcal{Q}_c \cap \mathcal{C}_b}} \{ J(e, \gamma) \} = \sup_{\pi \in \Pi(\mu, \nu)} \left\{ - \int c(x, y) d\tilde{\pi}(x, y) \right\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sup_{(e, \gamma) \in \mathcal{Q}_c \cap \mathcal{C}_b} \{ J(e, \gamma) \} = \inf_{\pi \in \Pi(\mu, \nu)} \left\{ \int c(x, y) d\pi(x, y) \right\}. \quad \blacksquare$$

Next time we will think algorithmically about discrete Kantorovich problems.