

Lecture #12

- One of the most fundamental observations of probability is that the LLN can be strengthened to give quantitative estimates on the behavior of random sums.
- That is, if $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$ are iid samples from a common r.v. \underline{X} , then not only is it the case that $S_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ converges to $\mu := E(\underline{X})$

$$S_n \xrightarrow{P} E(\underline{X}) \quad (\text{WLLN})$$

but the deviations of S_n from μ are (after a suitable normalization) approximately normal:

$$\frac{\sqrt{n} [S_n - \mu]}{\sigma} \rightsquigarrow N(0,1). \quad (\text{CLT})$$

$$\underbrace{\left(\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^{-1}}_{\text{"normalizing factor"}} \cdot [S_n - \mu] \rightsquigarrow N(0,1)$$

- We call this "asymptotic normality."
- A fundamental observation in statistics is that it is very, very rare indeed. A broad family of hypothesis tests exploit the CLT. These are known as the Wald test when it is in general form.

Wald Test: Consider the hypotheses $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$
 $H_1: \theta \neq \theta_0$

Suppose $\hat{\theta}$ is asymptotically normal, i.e. $\frac{(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0)}{\widehat{se}} \rightsquigarrow N(0,1)$ for some standard error \widehat{se} . The Wald test rejects H_0 at the level α if

$|W| > Z_{\alpha/2}$, where (1) $W = \frac{(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0)}{\widehat{se}}$

(2) $Z_{\alpha/2}$ is s.t. $P(|Z| > \frac{Z_{\alpha}}{2}) = \alpha, Z \sim N(0,1)$

Why does this make sense? Well, if $W = \frac{\hat{\theta} - \theta_0}{\widehat{se}}$, then we are assuming

$W \sim N(0,1)$ (with precise convergence as $n \rightarrow \infty$). Therefore, we are just treating W like $N(0,1)$ and proceeding accordingly.

Recall that if the test is at level α , then $P(\text{reject } H_0 | H_0 \text{ true}) = \alpha$.

Under the Wald hypothesis, $W \hat{\sim} N(0,1)$, so H_0 holding and our test choosing to reject ~~when~~ happens when the observed $W = \frac{\hat{\theta} - \theta_0}{\widehat{se}}$ deviates strongly, made precise by $|Z| > \frac{Z_{\alpha}}{2}$.

Remark: (1) Once we have data, W is determined: $\frac{\hat{\theta} - \theta_0}{\widehat{se}}$
 ↳ $\hat{\theta} - \theta_0$ ← fixed by H_0
 ↳ \widehat{se} ← data driven
 ↳ \widehat{se} ← data driven

(ii) On the other hand, before we see any data, W is a r.v.:

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{random} \rightarrow \hat{\theta} - \theta_0 \leftarrow \text{fixed by } \theta_0 \\ \quad \quad \quad \uparrow \\ \quad \quad \quad \text{se} \\ \quad \quad \quad \text{random} \end{array}$$

Balancing (i) & (ii) is a key conceptual foundation of the application of probability (CLT) to statistics (hypothesis testing).

We can apply a Wald test whenever $\hat{\theta}$ is asymptotically normal.

ex: Suppose $X \sim \text{Bin}(n, p_X)$, are independent binomial r.v. Consider $Y \sim \text{Bin}(m, p_Y)$

The hypotheses that $H_0: p_X - p_Y = 0$
 $H_1: p_X - p_Y \neq 0$

Recall that the MLE for a binomial r.v. $X \sim \text{Bin}(n, p)$ is $\hat{p} = \frac{X}{n}$.

Then by equivariance, $(\hat{p}_X - \hat{p}_Y) = \frac{\bar{X}}{n} - \frac{\bar{Y}}{m}$.

What about the standard error? well, $\hat{se} = \sqrt{\text{Var}(\hat{p}_X - \hat{p}_Y)}$

$$\begin{aligned} &\stackrel{\text{independence}}{=} \sqrt{\text{Var}(\hat{p}_X) + \text{Var}(\hat{p}_Y)} \\ &= \sqrt{\text{Var}\left(\frac{X}{n}\right) + \text{Var}\left(\frac{Y}{m}\right)} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} \text{Var}(X) + \frac{1}{m^2} \text{Var}(Y)}$$

$$> \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} \cdot n \cdot (p_X)(1-p_X) + \frac{1}{m^2} \cdot (p_Y) \cdot (1-p_Y)}$$

$$\approx \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \hat{p}_X \cdot (1-\hat{p}_X) + \frac{1}{m} \cdot \hat{p}_Y \cdot (1-\hat{p}_Y)}$$

So, the Wald statistic is $W = \frac{\hat{\theta} - \theta_0}{\hat{se}}$

$$= \frac{\hat{p}_X - \hat{p}_Y - [0]}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \hat{p}_X (1-\hat{p}_X) + \frac{1}{m} \hat{p}_Y (1-\hat{p}_Y)}}$$

$$= \frac{\hat{p}_X - \hat{p}_Y}{\dots}$$

We would reject H_0 at the α level if $|W| > \frac{Z_\alpha}{2}$, where as usual $Z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ is s.t. $P(|Z| > Z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}) = \alpha$.

Let's pick some numbers. Suppose $n = 200$ and $m = 100$ and we observe $X = 93$, $Y = 51$. Can we reject $H_0: p_X - p_Y = 0$ at the $\alpha = .01$ level?

Well, if we run a Wald test, we estimate

$$\hat{p}_X = \frac{93}{200}$$

$$\hat{p}_Y = \frac{51}{100}$$

$$\hat{se} = \sqrt{200 \cdot \left(\frac{93}{200}\right) \cdot \left(1 - \frac{93}{200}\right) + 100 \cdot \left(\frac{51}{100}\right) \cdot \left(1 - \frac{51}{100}\right)}$$

$$(1 - \frac{51}{100})$$

Hence, the Wald statistic is

$$\frac{\hat{p}_X - \hat{p}_Y}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n}(\hat{p}_X)(1-\hat{p}_X) + \frac{1}{m}(\hat{p}_Y)(1-\hat{p}_Y)}}$$

computer

$$= -0.7355$$

So, for $\alpha = .01$, $Z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \approx 2.576$. So, we reject H_0 if $|-0.7355| > 2.576$. So, we don't reject H_0 .

Remark: We can also estimate the standard error using SE_0 , which is the standard error under H_0 . Both this formulation (SE_0) and the original (\widehat{SE}) are valid.